UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,532	06/26/2007	Georg Binder	016906-0543	2988
	7590 02/04/201 LARDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500	T NIW	CIRIC, LJILJANA V		
3000 K STREE WASHINGTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3785	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/04/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary 10/591,532 BINDER ET AL. Examiner Art Unit		BINDER ET AL.				
Office Act	tion Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ljiljana (Lil) V. Ciric	3785			
The MAILING I Period for Reply	DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHICHEVER IS LON - Extensions of time may be a after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If NO period for reply is spe - Failure to reply within the se	IGER, FROM THE MAILING DA available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 the mailing date of this communication. cified above, the maximum statutory period w at or extended period for reply will, by statute, ffice later than three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH (ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timediated the application to become ABANDONE and the date of this communication, even if timely filed.	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on 12 N	ovember 2010				
2a) ☐ This action is F		action is non-final.				
<i>'</i> —						
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	danto mar ano praesioo anaer 2	in parte addyre, rece e.e. rr, re	0 0101 2101			
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1 and</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s)		om consideration.				
Application Papers						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) Applicant may no Replacement dra	t request that any objection to the wing sheet(s) including the correct	r. are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objec drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj aminer. Note the attached Office	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119					
a) All b) Solution So	me * c) None of: copies of the priority documents copies of the priority documents f the certified copies of the prior on from the International Bureau	s have been received in Application ity documents have been received	on No d in this National Stage			
Add a hora and (-)						
3) 🔀 Information Disclosure S	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/591,532 Page 2

Art Unit: 3785

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of the seventh species (readable on claims 1 and 3 through 23) in the reply filed on November 12, 2010 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

2. Claim 2 is hereby withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to the non-elected second species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on November 12, 2010.

Priority

3. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

4. The drawings filed on September 1, 2006 are objected to because of uneven shading and line quality. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be

Art Unit: 3785

notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

5. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it does not avoid phrases which can be implied (i.e., "The invention relates to") and also because it does not avoid legal phraseology (i.e., "comprising"). Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

6. Claim 23 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

As written, claim 23 appears to depend from base claim 1, but fails to limit the same in any way.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 8. Claims 1 and 3 through 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and contain grammatical and idiomatic errors. For example, "further direction" should be replaced with "additional direction" in claim 1, while "further branching structures" in claim 8 should be "additional branching structures".

A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. See MPEP § 2173.05(c). Note the

explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in Ex parte Wu, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of Ex parte Steigewald, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); Ex parte Hall, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and Ex parte Hasche, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 5 recites the broad recitation "formed from at least two parts", and the claim also recites "in particular two half-shells", which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation. Claims 11, 12, 13, 17, and 19 are similarly rendered indefinite.

Also, at least claims 4, 10, 15, 16, 19, and 22 have plural alternative limitations recited therein, which also render indefinite the metes and bounds of protection sought by the claims and by all claims depending therefrom.

9. Claim 23 provides for the use of the component of claim 1, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example Ex parte Dunki, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and Clinical Products, Ltd. v. Brenner, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 5

11. As best can be understood in view of the indefiniteness of the claims, claims 1 and 3 through 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Neuss et al. (U.S. Patent No.6,422,633 B2).

Neuss et al. discloses a modular vehicular air conditioning console essentially as claimed.

The reference thus reads on the claims.

Conclusion

12. The additional prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ljiljana (Lil) V. Ciric whose telephone number is 571-272-4909. The examiner works a flexible schedule, but can normally be reached weekdays between 10:30 a.m. and 6:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy J. Swann can be reached on 571-272-7075. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service

Application/Control Number: 10/591,532 Page 6

Art Unit: 3785

Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR

CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ljiljana (Lil) V. Ciric/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3785